
«...»
...
... ; ; ;
... ; ;
1992 .
16,1%, 2015 . – 33%.
1992 .
13,6%, 25%
(35,3%) – (28,6%). 2015 .
30%, . . .
1992 .
22,1%
47,8%, – 42,6, 40%:
– 42,8
– 42,1%.
» [1]. , 1990 « 2008 .
2,2 , – 2,7 ,

,
 .
 ,
 « » ,
 ,
 .
 « »
 ,
 .
 1999 . 10 .
 136 , 2015 .
 55,5.
 ,
 .
 1999 2015 .
 10 . 62,5% ,
 68,1% , 60,2% - , 66,3%
 ,
 ,
 ,
 .
 2008 . ,
 ,
 ,

[12]

[16]

[13]

[17]

[10].

1965 1995 . 81

[14] 91

, , -
 . , -
 . - , -
 , , -
 . - , -
 , , . -
 - , -
 .
 , .
 , , -
 . , -
 . , -
 . [9], 128 -
 1993 2000 ., , -
 , -
 , . -
 , .
 , . [15],
 1995 2005 ., 312 ,
 , . -
 - , . -
 . [11], -
 NUTS-II 2007 2011 ., -
 : , 2018, 1 (97) 97

, , -
 , , -
 , , -
 , . -
 , , , -
 , , -
 . . . -
 [5; 6] - [13]. , -
 . . . [6], -
 1998–2003 . . . [5] -
 2005–2009 . -
 , , , -
 , . -
 , [6] [5], -
 , . -
 , . . . [4; 7; 8]. -
 - , -
 , -
 , (.) . -
 , -
 , . . . [2] -
 . -

，	-
，	-
《	》
， . . .	-
.	. . .
，	-
-	-
.	-
. . .	-
，	-
2000 2008 .，	-
.	-
，	-
.	. . .
，	-
《	》，
-	-
.	-
，	-
， . . .	-
.	-
2008 .	-
，	-
，	-
-	-
.	-
. . .	《
.	》
-	-

$$\ln(y_{i,t}) = b_0 + b_1 y_{i,t-1} + b_2 h_{i,t-1} + b_3 sv_{i,t-1} + b_4 pv_{i,t-1} + b_5 rf_{i,t-1} + b_6 inv_{i,t-1} + b_7 inn_{i,t-1} + b_8 \ln(oil_t) + \mu_i + \epsilon_{i,t}, \quad (1)$$

$$y_{i,t} - \left(\dots \right)$$

$$t-1; h_{i,t} - \dots$$

$$t; sv_{i,t} - \dots$$

$$; pv_{i,t} - \dots$$

$$; rf_{i,t} - \dots$$

$$10 \dots ; inv_{i,t} - \dots$$

$$; inn_{i,t} - \dots$$

$$; \ln(oil_t) - \dots$$

$$\langle \text{Brent} \rangle_t \dots t-1; \mu_i - \dots$$

$$; \epsilon_{i,t} - \dots$$

[11] \dots [15],

$$\ln(oil_t)$$

$$\ln(y_{i,t}),$$

2009 2015

$$1. \dots h_{i,t-1}, sv_{i,t-1} \quad pv_{i,t-1} \dots$$

, -
 , -
 , -
 , -
 2. $rf_{i,t-1}$, -
 , -
 « » -
 [16], -
 , -
 , -
 3. $inv_{i,t-1}$. -
 -
 -
 4. $inn_{i,t-1}$ -
 , -
 -
 -
 5. $\ln(oil_t)$. -
 -
 . 1. -
 0,1% . -
 . -

	1.1	1.2
$y_{i,t-1}$	-5,169*** (0,206)	-5,167*** (0,206)
$h_{i,t-1}$	0,391 (0,430)	3,903* (2,057)
$h_{i,t-1}^2$		-0,062* (0,035)
$sv_{i,t-1}$	-0,552 (0,551)	-0,605 (0,550)
$pv_{i,t-1}$	0,517 (0,437)	0,501 (0,437)
$rf_{i,t-1}$	-0,028 (0,036)	-0,030 (0,036)
$inv_{i,t-1}$	0,164 (0,159)	0,158 (0,158)
$inn_{i,t-1}$	-0,053 (0,123)	-0,072 (0,123)
$\ln(oil_t)$	0,195*** (0,029)	0,181*** (0,029)
	-2,496 (25,779)	-48,530 (36,84)
R^2	0,638	0,641

1%- ;*,*** - 10%-

1%

, , 1.1 , -
 , -
 « », -
 -
 (1.2), $h_{i,t-1}$ $h_{i,t-1}^2$ $h_{i,t-1}$ -
 10%, $h_{i,t-1}^2$ -
 , , :
 $inv_{i,t-1}$ $inn_{i,t-1}$ -
 , , -
 , -
 5%. , -
 (), -
 , , -
 , -
 $inn_{i,t-1}$. -
 2015 . -
 500 . , 300 . , 300 -
 - 300 -

. 2.

2

	-	-	-
$y_{i,t-1}$	-49,996*** (9,738)	-43,543*** (8,608)	-5,372*** (0,480)
$h_{i,t-1}$	3,434*** (0,849)	1,168*** (0,252)	0,683 (3,302)
$h_{i,t-1}^2$	-0,058*** (0,014)		
$sv_{i,t-1}$	0,076 (0,202)	0,435 (0,336)	-3,200 (3,183)
$pv_{i,t-1}$	-0,069 (0,200)	0,405* (0,209)	4,197 (2,903)
$rf_{i,t-1}$	-0,079 (0,031)	-0,017 (0,013)	-0,102 (0,217)
$inv_{i,t-1}$	-0,093 (0,069)	-0,311*** (0,101)	0,777 (0,611)
$inn_{i,t-1}$	0,027 (0,045)	-0,002 (0,063)	-0,798 (1,237)
$\ln(oil_t)$	0,143*** (0,012)	0,178*** (0,016)	0,170 (0,195)
	-23,793 (14,246)	-13,041 (15,613)	-20,691 (192,215)
R^2	0,591	0,589	0,669
-	35	33	15

1%-

10%-

$$LQ_{i,j} = \frac{E_{i,j} / E_i}{E_j / E} \quad (2)$$

$E_{i,j} -$ $i; E_i -$ $i; E_j -$
 j j
 $; E -$

«
 » (j = 1), «
 » (j = 2) «
 » (j = 3)

$LQ_{i,1} > 1,5,$
 $LQ_{i,2} > 1,5,$
 $LQ_{i,3} > 1,25,$

$LQ_{i,3} > 1,25$

$LQ_{i,1} > 1,5, LQ_{i,2} > 1,5$

. 3.

1%.

[3]

$y_{i,t-1}$	-38,800*** (11,262)	-5,250*** (0,416)	-58,109*** (10,767)	-37,719*** (9,513)
$h_{i,t-1}$	4,122*** (1,536)	-0,016 (1,791)	1,546*** (0,309)	-0,202 (0,299)
$h_{i,t-1}^2$	-0,064** (0,027)			
$sv_{i,t-1}$	-0,483* (0,279)	-2,251 (2,131)	1,243*** (0,373)	0,500 (0,421)
$pv_{i,t-1}$	0,087 (0,207)	0,595 (1,549)	0,766*** (0,257)	1,135*** (0,024)
$rf_{i,t-1}$	-0,033 (0,029)	-0,149 (0,270)	-0,016 (0,014)	-0,037 (0,106)
$inv_{i,t-1}$	-0,055 (0,110)	0,655 (0,504)	-0,299** (0,121)	-0,264** (0,112)
$inn_{i,t-1}$	0,089 (0,088)	-0,305 (0,659)	0,028 (0,047)	0,031 (0,112)
$\ln(oil_t)$	0,140*** (0,015)	0,235* (0,128)	0,163*** (0,017)	0,156*** (0,022)
	-31,647 (24,290)	45,710 (107,181)	-51,590*** (17,864)	8,264 (22,055)
R^2	0,567	0,655	0,641	0,590
-	24	20	24	18

10%- , 5%- 1%-

; *, **, *** -

10 . 2015 .- , -
 , 15 -
 10 . -
 - 15 50 -
 , -
 50 -
 10 . . -
 .4.

2%,

10%.

[16].

5%.

$y_{i,t-1}$	-16,822*** (4,102)	-5,192*** (0,271)	-50,810*** (12,242)
$h_{i,t-1}$	0,423* (0,248)	0,371 (0,818)	1,376** (0,539)
$sv_{i,t-1}$	-0,440 (0,308)	-0,693 (1,134)	0,143 (0,440)
$pv_{i,t-1}$	-0,137 (0,226)	1,191 (0,924)	1,081*** (0,355)
$rf_{i,t-1}$	-0,126* (0,070)	-0,051 (0,076)	-0,018 (0,015)
$inv_{i,t-1}$	-0,039 (0,101)	0,216 (0,297)	-0,235 (0,227)
$inn_{i,t-1}$	-0,017 (0,064)	-0,130 (0,235)	0,432** (0,204)
$\ln(oil_t)$	0,148*** (0,018)	0,183*** (0,051)	0,200*** (0,031)
	22,134 (12,865)	-12,055 (56,758)	-22,085 (28,589)
R^2	0,440	0,647	0,793
-	28	46	9

10%- , 5%- , 1%- ; *, **, *** -

. 5.

5

$y_{i,t-1}$	-31,547*** (6,932)	-5,211*** (0,323)
$h_{i,t-1}$	0,387** (0,157)	0,442 (1,251)
$sv_{i,t-1}$	0,366* (0,209)	-1,414 (1,429)
$pv_{i,t-1}$	0,304** (0,161)	0,878 (1,150)
$rf_{i,t-1}$	-0,023 (0,012)	-0,069 (0,129)
$inv_{i,t-1}$	-0,259*** (0,080)	0,335 (0,306)
$inn_{i,t-1}$	0,040 (0,042)	-0,296 (0,399)
$\ln(oil_t)$	0,158*** (0,011)	0,194** (0,078)
	0,906 (9,265)	10,284 (70,950)
R^2	0,556	0,647
-	50	33

10%- , 5%- 1%-

; *, **, *** -

[8].

»

«

1. // . – 2014. – 4. – . 111–140.
2. // - . – 2013. – 1. – . 90–99.
3. ? - // . – 2016. – 10. – . 129–143.
4. // . – 2015. – 1. – . 102–113.
5. // – 2012. – . 12, . 3. – . 5–14.
6. (.) // . – 2007. – . 7, . 3. – . 191–201.
7. // - , 2016. – . 214–218.
8. // : . – 2007. – 4. – . 109–125.

9. *Badinger H., Tondl G.* Trade, Human Capital and Innovation: The Engines of European Regional Growth in the 1990s / IEF Working Papers. – 2002. – No. 42. – 35 p.
10. *Barro R.* Education and economic growth // *Annals of Economics and Finance.* – 2013. – Vol. 14, No. 2. – P. 301–328.
11. *Cadil J., Petkovova L., Blatna D.* Human capital, economic structure and growth // *Procedia Economics and Finance.* – 2014. – Vol. 12. – P. 85–92.
12. *Lucas R.* On the mechanics of economic development // *Journal of Monetary Economics.* – 1988. – Vol. 22, No. 1. – P. 3–42.
13. *Mankiw G., Romer D., Weil D.* A contribution to the empirics of economic growth // *Quarterly Journal of Economics.* – 1992. – Vol. 107, No. 2. – P. 407–437.
14. *Pritchett L.* Where Has All the Education Gone / *World Bank Working Papers.* – 1996. – No. 1581. – 37 p.
15. *Ramos R., Surinach J., Artis M.* Regional Economic Growth and Human Capital: the Role of Overeducation / *Research Institute of Applied Economics Working Papers.* – 2009. – No. 4. – 24 p.
16. *Romer P.* Endogenous technical change // *Journal of Political Economy.* – 1990. – Vol. 98, No. 5, p. II. – P. 71–102.

17. *Solow R.* A contribution to the theory of economic growth // Quarterly Journal of Economics. –1956. – Vol. 70, No. 1. – P. 65–94.

(119571, , 84, e-mail: rmmel@mail.ru).
(119571, , 84, e-mail: valentimateslenko@gmail.com).

DOI: 10.15372/REG20180105

Region: Economics & Sociology, 2018, No. 1 (97), p. 93–115

R.M. Melnikov, V.A. Teslenko

EVALUATING THE IMPACT OF HUMAN CAPITAL ON ECONOMIC DYNAMICS IN RUSSIAN REGIONS

The authors evaluate the impact of human capital on economic dynamics in Russian regions against significant shifts in the educational structure of the employed population, characterized by a dominant share of people with higher education in most regions. The main hypothesis of the study states that only specific groups of regions could obtain real benefits from a significant increase in the share of employed with higher education. The results of estimation of panel regressions with fixed effects demonstrate that human capital accumulation somewhat increases the rates of economic growth in regions with industrial specialization, high scientific potential, and those located in the western part of Russia. The human capital has no significant effect on economic growth in regions with specialization in services and mining. An increase in the share of employed with higher education increases growth rates in underdeveloped and agricultural regions with decreasing return to scale. The low

share of the gainfully employed population with basic vocational education constrains growth in regions specializing in industrial production and services. At the same time, the human capital concentrated in the research and development sector has no significant effect on growth even in the regions with high scientific potential due to the «disconnected» character of regional innovation systems and the low level of demand for innovations from the majority of Russian industrial enterprises.

Keywords: human capital; economic growth; regional development; educational structure of employed population; decreasing return on higher education; regression analysis of panel data

References

1. *Balatsky, E.V.* (2014). Sindrom aritmii reform v sisteme vysshego obrazovaniya [Syndrome of reforms' arrhythmia in the higher education]. Zhurnal Novoy ekonomicheskoy assotsiatsii [Journal of the New Economic Association], 4, 111–140.
2. *Bozhechkova, A.V.* (2013). Ekonometricheskoe modelirovanie vliyaniya chelovecheskogo kapitala na ekonomicheskii rost v regionakh Rossii [Econometric modeling of human capital impact on economic growth of Russian regions]. Audit i finansovyy analiz [Audit and Financial Analysis], 1, 90–99.
3. *Gimpelson, V.* (2016). Nuzhen li rossiyskoy ekonomike chelovecheskiy kapital? Desyat somneniy [Does the Russian economy need human capital? Ten doubts]. Voprosy ekonomiki [Problems of Economics], 10, 129–143.
4. *Karelin, I.N. & A.V. Koritsky.* (2015). Otsenka parametrov modeli Kholla-Dzhonsa s chelovecheskim kapitalom na rossiyskikh dannykh [Estimated parameters of Hall–Jones scale with human capital based on Russian data]. Vestnik NGUEU [Vestnik NSUEM], 1, 102–113.
5. *Komarova, A.V. & E.A. Kritsyna.* (2012). O vklade chelovecheskogo kapitala v rost VRP regionov Rossii [On the proportion human capital in GRP of Russian regions]. Vestnik NGU. Seriya: sotsialno-ekonomicheskie nauki [Bulletin of Novosibirsk State University. Series: Social and Economic Sciences], Vol. 12, No. 3, 5–14.
6. *Komarova, A.V. & O.V. Pavshok.* (2007). Otsenka vklada chelovecheskogo kapitala v ekonomicheskii rost regionov Rossii (na osnove modeli Menkyu-Romera-Ueyla) [The estimation of the human capital's impact into the economic growth of the regions of Russian Federation (using Mankiw–Romer–Wail's model)]. Vestnik NGU. Seriya: sotsialno-ekonomicheskie nauki [Bulletin of Novosibirsk State University. Series: Social and Economic Sciences], Vol. 7, No. 3, 191–201.
7. *Koritsky, A.V.* (2016). Dinamika proizvoditelnosti chelovecheskogo kapitala v Rossii [Human capital production dynamics in Russia]. Innovatsionnoe razvitie ros-

siyskoy ekonomiki [The Innovative Development of Russia's Economy]. Moscow, Plekhanov Russian University of Economics Publ., 214–218.

8. *Koritsky, A.V.* (2007). Otsenka vliyaniya chelovecheskogo kapitala na velichinu dokhodov naseleniya regionov Rossii [Human capital: assessing its impact on incomes of the population in Russian regions]. Region: ekonomika i sotsiologiya [Region: Economics and Sociology], 4, 109–125.

9. *Badinger, H. & G. Tondl.* (2002). Trade, Human Capital and Innovation: The Engines of European Regional Growth in the 1990s. IEF Working Papers, No. 42, 35.

10. *Barro, R.* (2013). Education and economic growth. Annals of Economics and Finance, Vol. 14, No. 2, 301–328.

11. *Cadil, J., L. Petkova & D. Blatna.* (2014). Human capital, economic structure and growth. Procedia Economics and Finance, 12, 85–92.

12. *Lucas, R.* (1988). On the mechanics of economic development. Journal of Monetary Economics, Vol. 22, No. 1, 3–42.

13. *Mankiw, G., D. Romer & D. Weil.* (1992). A contribution to the empirics of economic growth. Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 107, No. 2, 407–437.

14. *Pritchett, L.* (1996). Where Has All the Education Gone. World Bank Working Papers, No. 1581, 37.

15. *Ramos, R., J. Surinach & M. Artis.* (2009). Regional Economic Growth and Human Capital: the Role of Overeducation. Research Institute of Applied Economics Working Papers, No. 4, 24.

16. *Romer, P.* (1990). Endogenous technical change. Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 98, No. 5, part II, 71–102.

17. *Solow, R.* (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 70, No. 1, 65–94.

Information about the authors

Melnikov, Roman Mikhaylovich (Moscow, Russia) – Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Professor at the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (84, Vernadsky av., Moscow, 119571, Russia, e-mail: rmmel@mail.ru).

Teslenko, Valentina Aleksandrovna (Moscow, Russia) – Postgraduate Student at the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (84, Vernadsky av., Moscow, 119571, Russia, e-mail: valentimateslenko@gmail.com).

05.12.2017 .

©, 2018